| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | 10/709,301 | FARRAR ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | DANGELINO N. GORTAYO | 2168 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | _ |
| (1) <u>DANGELINO N. GORTAYO</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>John Smart (Reg # 34,929)</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 16 April 2008 | Time: <u>12:30 p.m.</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: current rejection of record Claims discussed: | | |
| 22, 23, 24 Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| prior art of record | | |
| Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant's representative agreed to amend claims as directly resulted in the Examiner's Amendments. The claims were discussed in regards to any 35 USC 101 issues. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| /Tim T. Vo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2168 | | |
| (A | pplicant/Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if appropriate) |